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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction of rigid body dynamics

Rigid body dynamics find application in wide variety of fields, e.g., robotics, Biomechanics, Granular mechanics, Gaming Industries, Aerospace engineering etc. In almost all the applications, system is considered to be made up of finite number of rigid bodies attached to each other through some interaction.

In robotics, a skeleton is modeled as multi-rigid-bodies system in which rigid bodies are linked to each other through hinge or ball and socket type links. Six-degree freedom manipulators [4] are used to solve the system.


Figure 1.1: Constraining rigid bodies in a chain [1]

Using rigid body dynamics algorithms, robot actuator models provide handy tool for handling and analyzing simulation data.


Figure 1.2: Schematic diagram of a simple graphitic molecular bearing [2]

One of the recent use of rigid body dynamics includes its application in studying dynamical properties of nanosystems. Simulation of molecular bearings, joints, gears have been done using rigid body dynamics algorithms. These algorithms are found to be very efficient [2], making longer time simulation possible. Figure 1.2 shows graphitic molecular bearing being modeled as pair of nested carbon nanotubes.

In Biomechanics, human body structures are studied by modeling them as chain of rigid bodies, e.g., treatments of inter vertebral disk-degeneration [5] is based on rigid body dynamics. Several rigid body based modeling has been been done for chemical compounds, biomolecules such as DNA etc.

With the advent of fast computers, many visualization softwares, based on rigid body dynamics have come up, offering powerful tool for the analysis. Most of the gaming softwares are based on rigid body dynamics using quaternion as rotation parameters. Fast algorithms used in these softwares provide excellent virtual reality platform.

### 1.2 Literature survey

In rigid body dynamics, several ways of rotation parameterization[6] are used. We get different representation of the equation of motion depending on which parameterization is used. Use of Euler's angles to represent rotation comes with the disadvantage of singularity involved in the representation and hence is not the popular choice to study the dynamics of rigid bodies. In this thesis, we use Rotation matrix scheme ([7],[8]) and Quaternion as rotation parameters. Quaternion were proposed long back due to Hamilton [9], but use
of quaternions for studying dynamics of the rigid body was proposed due to Goldstein et al.,[10]. Advantage of using quaternion over traditional methods mainly Euler's angle is that it is singularity free. Also, in contrast to Euler's angle parameterization, which involve trigonometric entities, equation of motion in terms of quaternion turn out to be consisting of algebraic entities which are easier to be solved numerically. One of the disadvantage of using Quaternion is that its length must be kept unity.

Algorithms have been proposed to solve constrained Hamiltonian system (due to unit quaternion constraint), but upto $1^{\text {st }}$ order only. Higher order numerical schemes respecting the unit quaternion constraint are yet to be derived. Several authors ([11],[12],[13]) have studied equation of motion for a single rigid body in terms of Quaternion, but the dynamics of chain of rigid bodies of arbitrary shape has not been discussed.

We address the motion of rigid bodies in chain in Hamiltonian formalism in detail. One of the particular focus in this thesis is the use of augmented angular velocity and augmented inertia matrix in the derivation of invertible Rotational mass matrix. Use of augmented matrices is common practice in mechanics based on Quaternion algebra. However, derivation of the particular choice of these augmented matrices in absent in the literature. We explain the importance of having invertible rotational mass matrix and show that it is unique.

When Hamilton's equations of dynamical systems are solved numerically using standard ODE solvers, e.g., ode45, Matlab(adaptive runge kutta method), Hamiltonian of the system blows up in the long time run. This motivate us to use Symplectic schemes([14],[15],[16]) for solving Hamilton's equations numerically. In order to force the unit quaternion constraints, standard projection techniques and null-space methods are discussed in the literature. However, using such techniques may destroy the symplectic structure of the system and hence should be used cautiously. Symplectic algorithms for solving multibody system has been addressed $([17],[18],[19],[20])$. However, the order of discretization discussed is upto $2^{\text {nd }}$ order only.

## Chapter 2

## Numerical experiment

In this chapter, we give emphasis on importance of using symplectic algorithms([15],[21],[22],[16]). Instead of going into derivation of equations, we discuss the advantage of symplectic algorithms over non-symplectic schemes by means of plots. Each of the example discussed show different advantages of symplectic algorithms.

### 2.1 Simple harmonic motion

Hamiltonian for this system is given by,

$$
H=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{k q^{2}}{2} .
$$

This system is solved for $k=4 N / m, m=1$ meter, initial conditions, $q(0)=0 \operatorname{and} p(0)=2$, step size $h=.01$ and tolerance $10^{-10}$. Exact solution of system is,

$$
q=\sin (2 t), \quad p=2 \cos (2 t) .
$$

We solve the system using both non-symplectic and symplectic schemes and compare the Hamiltonian for them with the exact solution. The step size and tolerance remain same for all the discussed algorithms.


Figure 2.1: Hamiltonian (in J) vs time(in sec.)

In the Figure (2.1), plots on left hand side are solutions of non-symplectic schemes, while that on the right side are solutions of symplectic schemes.

Explicit-Euler and Euler-A are both the first order algorithms, but in the first case Hamiltonian blows up while in the later case, it remain bounded. For the non-symplectic Implicit Euler, Hamiltonian dies out and it again remain bounded in second order symplectic StormerVerlet scheme. We also observe that the amplitude of oscillation of Hamiltonian is smaller than that in Euler-A.

Fourth order Runge-kutta seems to conserve the Hamiltonian to a good extent, but Hamiltonian is still declining in comparison to sixth order symplectic Gauss6 scheme. Significant variation in Hamiltonian can be observed while using Runge-kutta scheme, when simulation is done for long time (section 2.4).

We conclude from above discussion that, for same step size and tolerance, symplectic schemes are a better numerical approach than non-symplectic schemes. Also, as the order of symplectic scheme increases, amplitude of variation in Hamiltonian decreases.

### 2.2 Non-linear pendulum

In order to emphasize on phase space conserving properties of symplectic algorithms, we reproduce here the phase plots for non-linear pendulum, discussed in Hairer, pg314 [3]. Hamiltonian of the system is

$$
H=\frac{p^{2}}{2}-\cos (q)\left(1-\frac{p}{6}\right) .
$$

We compare two schemes,
(a) Implicit Radau, non-symplectic scheme of order 3,
(b) Implicit Midpoint, symplectic scheme of order 2,
for initial conditions $p_{0}=0, q_{0}=-\cos ^{-1}(-.8)$ and step size $h=.3$.


Figure 2.2: p vs q, RadauIIA


Figure 2.3: p vs q, Implicit midpoint

We see from the above phase plots that symplectic methods conserve phase space, while non-symplectic do not. Also, the solution for non-symplectic implicit scheme is dying to zero and would blow up for any non-symplectic explicit scheme.

Energy conserving property of symplectic is clearly seen in plot (2.5). While the Hamiltonian remains bounded in Implicit-Midpoint scheme, it keeps decreasing in Radau method.


Figure 2.4: Hamiltonian(in J) vs time(in sec.), RadauIIA


Figure 2.5: Hamiltonian(in J) vs time(in sec.), Implicit midpoint

### 2.3 Kepler's problem

We now analyze here Kepler's problem as another example of highlighting advantage of symplectic schemes over non-symplectic methods. For computing motion of two bodies, which attract each other, one body is assumed to be center. Coordinates of other body is given by $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$. Hamiltonian for this system is,

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}}} .
$$

This system has been solved for initial conditions

$$
e=.6, p_{1}(0)=0, p_{2}(0)=\sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}}, q_{1}(0)=1-e, q_{2}(0)=0
$$

and compared with exact solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1}(t) & =\frac{\left(1-e^{2}\right) \cos t}{1+e \cos \left(t-t^{*}\right)}, \\
q_{2}(t) & =\frac{\left(1-e^{2}\right) \sin t}{1+e \cos \left(t-t^{*}\right)}, \quad t^{*}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## $q_{1}$ vs $q_{2}$ (Numerical and exact solution)

We see from figure 2.6 and figure 2.7 that, even for step size 100 times higher than Explicit Euler, symplectic Euler-A scheme provides more accurate solution. The only disadvantage of Euler- $A$ is that, it is a implicit scheme which involves solving nonlinear equations. However,


Figure 2.6: Explicit euler


Figure 2.7: Symplectic Euler
we find the computation time ${ }^{1}$ for Explicit Euler to be 51.01 sec., while that for Euler- $A$ is 13.71 sec . This demonstrate that symplectic schemes save computation time.


Figure 2.8: Stormer-verlet


Figure 2.9: Gauss6

As we increase the order of symplectic scheme, we get more and more accurate solution. Computation time for Stormer-Verlet scheme (figure 2.8) scheme and Gauss6 schemes (figure 2.9) are 7.26 sec . and 34.09 sec respectively. So, we see from figure 2.6 and figure 2.9 that, sixth order symplectic Gauss6 scheme give much more accurate solution than Explicit-Euler method in lesser computational time.

### 2.4 Galactic orbit

We discuss here the example of Galactic orbit to demonstrate the Hamiltonian conserving properties of symplectic schemes in long run simulations. We also compare our results with solution given in Hairer, pg322[3].

[^0]Hamiltonian for this system is given by

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)+\Omega\left(p_{1} q_{2}-p_{2} q_{1}\right)+A \log \left(C+\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{q_{2}^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{q_{3}^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)
$$

where, $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)_{i=1,2,3}$ are conjugate pairs. Parameters and initial values are

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=1.25, b=1, c=.5, A=1, C=1, \Omega=0.25 \\
q_{1}(0)=2.5, q_{2}(0)=0, q_{3}(0)=0, p_{1}(0)=0, p_{2}(0)=1.688, p_{3}(0)=.2
\end{gathered}
$$

Hairer [3], has discussed Poincare section with the half-plane $q 2=0, q_{1}>0, \dot{q}_{2}>0$, for $0 \leq t \leq 10^{6}$. Number of points captured by such section has been compared with results given in [3].

| item | method | order | h | points (Hairer) | points (Calculated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) | Gauss | 6 | $1 / 5$ | 47093 | 47082 |
| b) | Gauss | 6 | $2 / 5$ | 46852 | 46897 |
| c) | Radau | 5 | $1 / 10$ | 46597 | 46594 |
| d) | Sungeng | 5 | $1 / 5$ | 47092 | 47085 |
| e) | RK4 | 4 | $1 / 40$ | 47004 | 47003 |
| f) | RK4 | 4 | $1 / 10$ | 46192 | 46190 |

Table 2.1: No. of points captured in Poincare section


Figure 2.10: Poincare section, Calculated (left) and Hairer[3] (right)

Table 2.1 and figure 2.10 show good agreement of our work with published results and hence show our correct implementation of the algorithms. Figure 2.11 clearly shows the advantage


Figure 2.11: Hamiltonian(in J) vs time(in sec.) comparison for RK4 and Gauss6
of symplectic Gauss6 over non-symplectic RK4 in terms of conserving Hamiltonian. Generally difference between Gauss6 and $R K 4$ is not significant in small run or for very small step size, but this simulation for step size $h=0.4$ and 80,000 steps distinguishes between two schemes. Difference is more and more pronounced as we increases the step size and/or number of steps.

### 2.5 Symmetric top

In this section, we derive in detail, motion of symmetric body using concept of Euler's angle. In the later part of this section, we demonstrate the symmetric body problem using quaternion and rotation matrix scheme of rotation representation. Figure 2.12 represents schematic of a symmetric body and its orientation in terms of Euler's angle. XYZ is the inertial frame and $\mathbf{x y z}$ is the body fixed frame. $\mathbf{Z Y Z}$ scheme is followed and corresponding rotation matrices (frame rotation) are :
$\mathbf{R}_{z}(\psi)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\cos \psi & \sin \psi & 0 \\ -\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{R}_{y}(\theta)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{R}_{z}(\phi)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$


Figure 2.12: Symmetric body and its axes

So, rotation matrix for the conversion of a vector from XYZ frame to body fixed frame xyz is
$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R}_{z}(\phi) \mathbf{R}_{y}(\theta) \mathbf{R}_{z}(\psi)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\cos \theta \cos \phi \cos \psi-\sin \phi \sin \psi & \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \psi+\sin \phi \cos \psi & -\cos \phi \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \psi-\cos \phi \sin \psi & -\cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \psi+\cos \phi \cos \psi & \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \psi & \sin \theta \sin \psi & \cos \theta\end{array}\right]$

Angular velocity in body fixed frame $\mathbf{x y z}$ is given by,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega=\mathbf{R}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\dot{\psi}
\end{array}\right]+\mathbf{R}_{z}(\phi)\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\dot{\theta} \\
0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
\dot{\phi}
\end{array}\right] \\
\omega=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\theta} \cos \phi-\dot{\psi} \sin \theta \cos \phi \\
\dot{\theta} \cos \phi+\dot{\psi} \sin \theta \sin \phi \\
\dot{\phi}+\dot{\psi} \cos \theta
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

For using Euler's equation, we need to find torque acting on body in body fixed frame.

$$
\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{R}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-m g
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m g \cos \phi \sin \theta \\
-m g \sin \phi \sin \theta \\
-m g \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

So, the torque in body fixed frame is $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{r}_{c m} \times \mathbf{F}$.

$$
\mathbf{r}_{c m}=(0,0, l)^{T}
$$

Therefore, torque is

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m g l \sin \phi \sin \theta \\
m g l \cos \phi \sin \theta \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $I_{1}, \quad I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ be moment of inertia along principle axis of the top. Using Euler's equation for motion,

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1} \frac{d \omega_{x}}{d t}+\left(I_{3}-I_{2}\right) \omega_{y} \omega_{z}=m g l \sin \theta \sin \phi  \tag{2.2}\\
I_{2} \frac{d \omega_{y}}{d t}+\left(I_{1}-I_{3}\right) \omega_{x} \omega_{z}=m g l \sin \theta \cos \phi  \tag{2.3}\\
I_{3} \frac{d \omega_{z}}{d t}+\left(I_{2}-I_{1}\right) \omega_{x} \omega_{y}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We solve Euler's equation for symmetric bodies, i.e., for $I_{1}=I_{2}=I$. Thus, we see from equation (2.4) that $\omega_{z}$ remains constant. Substituting angular velocity from (2.1)in (2.2) and (2.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\theta} \sin \phi+\dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \cos \phi-\ddot{\psi} \sin \theta \cos \phi-\dot{\psi} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta \cos \phi \\
&+\dot{\psi} \dot{\phi} \sin \theta \sin \phi+\omega_{z}\left(\frac{I_{3}}{I}-1\right)(\dot{\theta} \cos \phi+\dot{\psi} \sin \theta \sin \phi)=\frac{m g l \sin \theta \sin \phi}{I}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \ddot{\theta} \cos \phi-\dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} \sin \phi+\ddot{\psi} \sin \theta \sin \phi+\dot{\psi} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta \sin \phi \\
&+\dot{\psi} \dot{\phi} \sin \theta \cos \phi-\omega_{z}\left(\frac{I_{3}}{I}-1\right)(\dot{\theta} \sin \phi-\dot{\psi} \sin \theta \cos \phi)=\frac{m g l \sin \theta \cos \phi}{I} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$(2.5) \times \sin \phi+(2.6) \times \cos \phi$ gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\theta}=\frac{m g l \sin \theta}{I}-\dot{\psi} \dot{\phi} \sin \theta-\left(\frac{I_{3}}{I}-1\right) \dot{\psi} \sin \theta . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

And (2.5) $\times \cos \phi-(2.6) \times \sin \phi$ gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\psi}=\frac{I(\dot{\theta} \dot{\phi}-\dot{\psi} \dot{\theta} \cos \theta)+\left(I_{3}-I\right) \dot{\theta}}{I \sin \theta} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second order differential equations are reduced to first order by introducing variables $\dot{\theta}=n_{1}$, $\dot{\psi}=n_{2}$ and $\dot{\phi}=n_{3}$. Six first order ODEs to be solved are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{n_{1}} & =\frac{m g l \sin \theta}{I}-n_{2}\left(\omega_{z}-n_{2} \cos \theta\right) \sin \theta-\left(\frac{I_{3}}{I}-1\right) n_{2} \sin \theta \\
\dot{n_{2}} & =\frac{I n_{1}\left(\omega_{z}-2 n_{2} \cos \theta\right)+\left(I_{3}-I\right) n_{1}}{I \sin \theta} \\
\dot{n_{3}} & =n_{1} n_{2} \sin \theta \\
\dot{\theta} & =n_{1} \\
\dot{\psi} & =n_{2} \\
\dot{\phi} & =\omega_{z}-n_{2} \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations above are solved using Gauss method of order 6 for following initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mass }=1.5 \mathrm{~kg} & l=1.33 \mathrm{~m} \\
\text { step size } & h=.005 \\
\theta=45^{\circ} & \text { tolerance }=10^{-10} \\
\psi=1^{\circ} & \dot{\theta}=1 / \mathrm{s}^{-1} \\
\phi=1^{\circ} & \dot{\psi}=1 / \mathrm{s}^{-1} \\
& \dot{\phi}=100 / \mathrm{s}^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 2.13 represents motion of center of mass of the symmetric top as function of time t. Projection of this motion in $x y$ plane in the figure 2.14 shows oscillatory behavior of the motion of the top.


Figure 2.13: 3D coordinate of centre of mass


Figure 2.14: X-Y coordinate of centre of mass

Some quaternion based, certain properties conserving algorithms have been developed, e.g., energy-angular momentum conserving scheme $Q U A T$-EM has been proposed due to Betsch et.al,2009 [12]. Without going into detail of the equations, we show here plots for the motion of symmetric top under gravity for $Q U A T-E M$ scheme.


Figure 2.15: Coordinates of center of mass of top vs time(in sec.)

Figure 2.15 shows the center of mass coordinates of symmetric top as function of time. Similar to the figure 2.14, periodic behavior of the motion is clear from the plot here.
$J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$ in the figure 2.16 represent angular momentum of the symmetric top in $x, y$ and $z$ directions respectively. As concluded from equation $2.4, J_{3}$ should remain constant. Figure 2.16 clearly shows the conservation of angular momentum $J_{3}$. Figure 2.17 depicts that QUAT-EM algorithm successfully conserve the Hamiltonian.


Figure 2.16: Angular momentum (in $\mathrm{kgm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) vs time(in sec.)


Figure 2.17: Hamiltonian of the top(in J) vs time (in sec.)

A rotation matrix based energy conserving Rattle algorithm (second order, symplectic)was proposed due to Leimkuhler, pg. 207 [7]. Algorithm as been discussed in detail in Appendix B. We reproduce here plots for symmetric plot discussed in Leimkuhler, pg. 210 [7]. Figure 2.18 represent z coordinate of center of mass of the symmetric top. Figure 2.19 shows error in the Hamiltonian of the system which is quite small.


Figure 2.18: z coordinate of com


Figure 2.19: Error in Hamiltonian

## Chapter 3

## Dynamics of three dimensional

## chain

In this chapter, we study the motion of a chain consisting of rigid bodies of arbitrary shape in three dimensional space. In the section 3.2, we represent rotation of the rigid bodies using rotation matrix. In this representation, we solve the system of equations using second order symplectic Rattle algorithm (see Appendix B). In the section 3.3, we represent rotation of the rigid bodies using quaternions. We derive the rotational mass matrix in subsection 3.3.1 and prove that it is unique. We incorporate unit quaternion constraint in the Hamiltonian and derive the expression for Lagrangian multiplier in subsection 3.3.8. We show in the section 3.4 that standard symplectic algorithms remain symplectic even after addition of expression for unit quaternion constraint in the Hamiltonian.


Figure 3.1: three dimensional chain of rigid bodies

In the figure 3.1, we represent schematic of the three dimensional chain consisting of ( $\mathrm{N}+2$ ) rigid bodies. Indexing of the bodies start from 0 to $\mathrm{N}+1$. In the schematic, boundary condition is clamped-clamped, but we solve the chain problem for more realistic boundary conditions discussed in section 3.3.7.

We assume that rigid bodies are made up of certain number of particles attached to each other through rigid links. In the next section, we derive the moment of inertia of such rigid bodies along its principal axes.

### 3.1 Rigid body



Figure 3.2: Configuration of particles in a rigid body

It is assumed that rigid body consist of $n_{p}$ number of particle attached to each other through rigid links. Let $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}$ and $m_{i}^{k}$ denote the position vector in coordinate frame $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ and mass of $k^{\text {th }}$ particle of $i^{\text {th }}$ body respectively. Our aim is to find body fixed coordinate frame with axises at principal axis. We also find position of $k^{t h}$ particle with respect to this body fixed frame.

Let $\mathbf{r}_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ denote center of mass of $i^{\text {th }}$ body in $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ frame.

$$
\mathbf{r}_{i}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}}{m_{j}}
$$

For now, we consider a body fixed frame with origin at center of mass of the body and having same orientation as frame $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$. In this body fixed frame, component of inertia matrix are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{11}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{2}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{3}\right]^{2}\right\}, \\
& I_{22}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{1}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{3}\right]^{2}\right\}, \\
& I_{33}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{1}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{2}\right]^{2}\right\}, \\
& I_{12}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{1}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{2}\right]\right\}, \\
& I_{13}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{1}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{3}\right]\right\}, \\
& I_{23}=-\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{i}^{k}\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{2}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{i}\right) \cdot e_{3}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal directions Because moment of inertia tensor is a symmetric matrix, it is always possible to diagonalize this matrix.

We relocate position of each particle of the body in the principal coordinate frame (determined from the procedure above). In order to avoid introducing new notation, from now onwards, $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}$ denote position of $k^{\text {th }}$ particle of $i^{\text {th }}$ body in principal coordinate frame.

We now study the dynamics of three dimensional chain using rotation matrix scheme in which rotation of rigid bodies are represented using $3 \times 3$ rotation matrices.

### 3.2 Hamiltonian formulation using rotation matrix

Let $\left\{e_{i}^{j}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ denote basis of body fixed frame of $j^{\text {th }}$ body. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ be the basis of inertial frame. We assume that each rigid body consist of $n_{p}$ number of particles linked to each other through massless rigid links. Let $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}$ denote the coordinate of $k^{t h}$ particle of $j^{\text {th }}$ body in body fixed frame with origin at center of mass. Let $\mathbf{r}_{j}$ denote the position vector of
center of mass of $j^{\text {th }}$ body in stationary frame. Let $\mathbf{R}_{j}(t) \in S O(3)$ be the rotation matrix relating basis $\left\{e_{i}^{j}\right\}$ to $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ as,

$$
\left\{e_{i}^{j}\right\}=\mathbf{R}_{j}(t)\left\{e_{i}\right\} .
$$

Dynamics of the chain is completely determined by position of center of mass of rigid bodies

$$
\mathbf{r}_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad t \mapsto \mathbf{r}_{j}(t),
$$

and orientation of rigid bodies

$$
\mathbf{R}_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S O(3), \quad t \mapsto \mathbf{R}_{j}(t),
$$

where, $j=0,1,2, \ldots, N+1$.

So, the configuration space of the system is:

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \ldots \mathrm{~N}+2 \text { times } \times S O(3) \times S O(3) \ldots \mathrm{N}+2 \text { times }\right\} .
$$

In order to write Hamiltonian of the system, we derive expression for total kinetic energy and total potential energy of the system. Let $m_{j}$ denote the mass of $j^{\text {th }}$ rigid body and $[J]^{j}$ denote principal moment of inertia matrix. Velocity of $k^{\text {th }}$ particle of $j^{\text {th }}$ body in inertial frame is,

$$
\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j}+\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{j}^{T}(t) \mathbf{r}_{j}^{k} .
$$

Therefore, kinetic energy of $j^{\text {th }}$ body is,

$$
T^{j}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} m_{j}\left\|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{j}^{T}(t)[J]^{j} \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{j}(t)\right) .
$$

In expression above, the first term represent translational kinetic energy of the body, $T_{\text {trans }}^{j}$ and second term denotes rotational kinetic energy, $T_{\text {rot }}^{j}$.

Let the interaction potential be depending on relative distance between two bodies and their relative orientation. Let us assume nearest neighbor interaction. Then, the general form of potential energy contribution from the pair, $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ body is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}=V_{i j}\left(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{R}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, Lagrangian of the system is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}\left\{T_{\text {rot }}^{j}\left(\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{j}(t)\right)+T_{\text {trans }}^{j}\left(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right)\right\}-\sum_{j=0}^{N} V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}, \mathbf{R}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Legendre transformation, conjugate rotational momenta $\mathbf{P}_{j}$ and translational momenta $\mathbf{p}_{j}$ are calculated as,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{P}_{j} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{j}}=\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{j}[J]^{j}  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathbf{p}_{j} & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j}}=m_{j} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Kinetic energy of the system in terms of conjugate momenta is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{j}^{T}\right)+\frac{\left\|\mathbf{p}_{j}\right\|^{2}}{2 m_{j}}\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$
\mathscr{H}=\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{j}^{T}\right)+\frac{\left\|\mathbf{p}_{j}\right\|^{2}}{2 m_{j}}\right\}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}, \mathbf{R}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right) .
$$

### 3.2.1 Constraints

Leimkuhler and Reich [7] and Betsch et. al.,[8] have discussed the constraints to be put on the rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}_{j}(t)$ and the conjugate momenta $\mathbf{P}_{j}(t)$. Matrix $\mathbf{R}_{j}(t)$ represent rotation matrix iff it satisfy the orthogonality condition

$$
\mathbf{R}_{j} \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T}=I_{3 \times 3} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{j}=I_{3 \times 3}
$$

Because $\mathbf{R}_{j} \mathbf{R}_{j}{ }^{T}$ is a symmetric matrix, there are six independent constraints on $\mathbf{R}_{j}(t)$. This should be expected as we are using 9 variables ( $3 \times 3$ matrix) to represent the rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In order to enforce orthogonality condition constraint, Leimkuhler and Reich [7] introduced
six Lagrange multipliers through a symmetric matrix $\Lambda^{j}$. So, Hamiltonian of the system is modified by adding the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathbf{R}_{j} \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T}-I\right) \Lambda^{j}\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constraint on conjugate momenta is obtained by differentiating above equation with respect to time and using (3.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{j-1}+[J]^{j-1} \mathbf{P}_{j}{ }^{T} \mathbf{R}_{j}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Hamilton's equations

Hamiltonian of the system, after adding the term 3.6 is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=\sum_{j=0}^{N+1}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{j}^{T}\right)+\frac{\left\|\mathbf{p}_{j}\right\|^{2}}{2 m_{j}}\right\}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}, \mathbf{R}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathbf{R}_{j} \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T}-I\right) \Lambda^{j}\right\} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Phase space of this Hamiltonian system is:

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{p}_{j}, \mathbf{R}_{j}, \mathbf{P}_{j}\right)_{j=0,1, \ldots, N+1} \in \mathcal{M}: \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{j}=I_{3}, \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{j-1}+[J]^{j-1} \mathbf{P}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{j}=0\right\},
$$

where,

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \ldots 2 \mathrm{~N}+4 \text { times } \times S O(3) \times S O(3) \ldots 2 \mathrm{~N}+4 \text { times }\right\}
$$

Using Hamilton's equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{P}_{j}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{j}}, \frac{d \mathbf{R}_{j}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbf{P}_{j}}, \frac{d \mathbf{p}_{j}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{j}}, \frac{d \mathbf{r}_{j}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{j}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Hamilton's equations on phase space $\mathcal{P}$ are,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathbf{p}_{j}}{d t} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{j}}\left(V_{j-1, j}+V_{j, j+1}\right),  \tag{3.10a}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{r}_{j}}{d t} & =\frac{\mathbf{p}_{j}}{m_{j}}  \tag{3.10b}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{P}_{j}}{d t} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{j}}\left(V_{j-1, j}+V_{j, j+1}\right)-2 \mathbf{R}_{j} \Lambda^{j},  \tag{3.10c}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{R}_{j}}{d t} & =\mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{j-1} \tag{3.10d}
\end{align*}
$$

Above equations are valid for $j=1$ to $j=N$. Equations for $0^{t h}$ and $(N+1)^{\text {th }}$ body are taken care of in boundary conditions.

### 3.2.3 Gradient of Potential

In this subsection, we derive the gradient of potential with respect to rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}_{j}$ and with respect to position vector $\mathbf{r}_{j}$. We assume, the interaction to be of particle-particle type. In that case potential energy contribution from $k^{\text {th }}$ particle of $i^{\text {th }}$ body and $l^{\text {th }}$ particle of $j^{\text {th }}$ body is the function of distance $r_{i, j}^{k, l}$ between them, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{r_{i, j}^{k, l}\right\}^{2}= & \left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}+\mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}+\mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right)\right\} \cdot\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}+\mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}+\mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right)\right\} \\
= & \mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}+2 \mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}+\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}+\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}+2 \mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}+\mathbf{r}_{j}^{l} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l} \\
& -2\left(\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}+\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}+\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}+\mathbf{R}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the contribution to potential energy from the pair $i^{t h}$ and $j^{t h}$ body is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} V_{i j}^{k, l}\left(r_{i, j}^{k, l}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using above equation and chain rule,we rewrite Hamilton's equations (3.13a)-(3.13d) on phase space $\mathcal{P}$ in terms of the potential gradients as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathbf{p}_{j}}{d t}= & -\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} A_{j-1, j}^{k, l}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{j}+\mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}-\mathbf{r}_{j-1}-\mathbf{R}_{j-1}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j-1}^{k}\right\}  \tag{3.13a}\\
& +A_{j, j+1}^{k, l}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{j}+\mathbf{R}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{k}-\mathbf{r}_{j+1}-\mathbf{R}_{j+1}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j+1}^{l}\right\}, \\
\frac{d \mathbf{r}_{j}}{d t}= & \frac{\mathbf{p}_{j}}{m_{j}},  \tag{3.13b}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{P}_{j}}{d t}= & -\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} A_{j-1, j}^{k, l} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{j}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{j-1}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{j-1}^{k T} \mathbf{R}_{j-1}\right\}  \tag{3.13c}\\
& +A_{j, j+1}^{k, l} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{k}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{j}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{j+1}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{j+1}^{l T} \mathbf{R}_{j+1}\right\}-2 \mathbf{R}_{j} \Lambda^{j}, \\
\frac{d \mathbf{R}_{j}}{d t}= & \mathbf{P}_{j}[J]^{j-1}, \tag{3.13d}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
A_{p, q}^{k, l}=\frac{\partial V_{p, q}^{k, l}}{\partial r_{p, q}^{k, l}} \frac{1}{r_{p, q}^{k, l}} .
$$

### 3.2.4 Boundary condition

We consider 3 possible set of boundary conditions, $c_{1}-c_{1}, c_{1}-c_{2}$ and $c_{1}-c_{3}$, which have been described below.

1. $c_{1}-c_{1}$ : In this case, both ends are clamped. Hence, coordinates of $0^{t h}$ and $(N+1)^{t h}$ body remain same for all time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{0}(0), & \mathbf{R}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{R}_{0}(0), \\
\mathbf{r}_{N+1}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{N+1}(0), & \mathbf{R}_{N+1}(t)=\mathbf{R}_{N+1}(0), \\
\mathbf{p}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathbf{P}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 3}, \\
\mathbf{p}_{N+1}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathbf{P}_{N+1}(t)=0_{3 \times 3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (3.13a)-(3.13d) are solved for $j=1,2,3, \ldots N$.
2. $c_{1}-c_{2}$ : In this case, one end is clamped and force is applied at other end, but this end is free to rotate. So, for $0^{\text {th }}$ body,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{r}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{0}(0), & \mathbf{R}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{R}_{0}(0), \\
\mathbf{p}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathbf{P}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 3} .
\end{array}
$$

Let the applied constant force be $\mathbf{F}$. Then work done on the system is,

$$
\mathscr{W}_{F}=-\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{N+1} .
$$

We add this work $\mathscr{W}_{F}$ to the Hamiltonian of the system (3.8) and using Hamilton's equations (3.13a)-(3.13d), we get equations for $(N+1)^{t h}$ body as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{d t} & =-\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} A_{N, N+1}^{k, l}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{N+1}+\mathbf{R}_{N+1}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{N+1}^{l}-\mathbf{r}_{N}-\mathbf{R}_{N}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{N}^{k}\right\}+\mathbf{F}, \\
\frac{d \mathbf{r}_{N+1}}{d t} & =\frac{\mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{m_{N+1}}, \\
\frac{d \mathbf{P}_{N+1}}{d t} & =-\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} A_{N, N+1}^{k, l} \mathbf{r}_{N+1}^{l}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{N+1}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{N}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{N}^{k T} \mathbf{R}_{N}\right\}-2 \mathbf{R}_{N+1} \Lambda^{N+1}, \\
\frac{d \mathbf{R}_{N+1}}{d t} & =\mathbf{P}_{N+1}[J]^{N+1-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations for $j=1,2, \ldots N$ remain same as in (3.13a)-(3.13d).
3. $c_{1}-c_{3}$ : In this case, one end is clamped and constant displacement $\mathbf{d}$ is specified at other end, but this end is free to rotate. So, the boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{r}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{0}(0), & \mathbf{R}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{R}_{0}(0), \\
\mathbf{p}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathbf{P}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 3}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{N+1}(t)=\mathbf{d}, & \mathbf{p}_{N+1}(t)=0_{3 \times 1} .
\end{array}
$$

Because the position of $(N+1)^{\text {th }}$ body is given as constant displacement $\mathbf{d}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{N+1}=0$ and $\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{N+1}=0$. Therefore, using (3.13a)-(3.13d), equations for $(N+1)^{\text {th }}$ body are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{d t} & =0_{3 \times 1} \\
\frac{d \mathbf{r}_{N+1}}{d t} & =0_{3 \times 1} \\
\frac{d \mathbf{P}_{N+1}}{d t} & =-\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} A_{N, N+1}^{k, l} \mathbf{r}_{N+1}^{l}\left\{\mathbf{d}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{N}^{T}-\mathbf{r}_{N}^{k T} \mathbf{R}_{N}\right\}-2 \mathbf{R}_{N+1} \Lambda^{N+1} \\
\frac{d \mathbf{R}_{N+1}}{d t} & =\mathbf{P}_{N+1}[J]^{N+1^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations for $j=1,2, \ldots N$ remain same as in (3.13a)-(3.13d).

Rotation matrix based symplectic schemes have been proposed due to Leimkuhler and Sebastian [7]. We have extended this idea to multibody problem and have proposed Hamiltonian formulation for three dimensional chain. Numerical schemes base on rotation matrix have been proposed due to Leimkuhler [7] and Shuichi[23]. Appendix B discuss Rattle [7] algorithm in detail. Because this representation has larger configuration space than quaternion representation, we will be using quaternion based schemes to solve the system numerically. However, rotation matrix scheme is still better than Euler's angle scheme as it does not involve any singularity and hence should be given preference over Euler's angle scheme.

As discussed earlier, quaternion schemes have advantage over rotation matrix scheme because of lesser dimensional configuration space. We develop a quaternion based scheme for studying dynamics of three dimensional chain.

### 3.3 Hamiltonian formulation using quaternion

Let $\left\{e_{i}^{j}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ denote basis of body fixed frame of $j^{\text {th }}$ body. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ be the basis of inertial frame. Let $\mathbf{r}_{j}$ denote the position vector of center of mass of $j^{\text {th }}$ body in stationary frame. Motion of three dimensional chain consisting of $(N+2)$ number of rigid bodies is completely determined by coordinate of center of mass of rigid bodies

$$
\mathbf{r}_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad t \mapsto \mathbf{r}_{j}(t),
$$

and set of unit quaternions

$$
\mathbb{q}_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{3}=\partial B_{1}^{\mathbb{H}}(0) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad t \mapsto \mathbb{q}_{j}(t),
$$

where, $j=0,1,2, \ldots, N+2$, and the set of unit quaternions $\mathbb{S}^{3}=\partial B_{1}^{\mathbb{H}}(0)=\left\{\mathfrak{q}_{j} \in \mathbb{H}\right.$ : $\|q\|=1\} \subset \mathbb{H}$, is a subgroup of the multiplicative quaternion group $\mathbb{H}$.

Configuration space of the system is:

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \ldots \mathrm{~N}+2 \text { times } \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \ldots \mathrm{N}+2 \text { times }\right\} .
$$

For the quaternion $\mathbb{q}_{j}=\left\{q_{j, 0}, q_{j, 1}, q_{j, 2}, q_{j, 3}\right\}^{T} \in\left\{\mathbb{R}^{4}=\mathbb{H}\right\}$, rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$ is given by Euler map

$$
\mathbf{R}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow S O(3), \quad \mathbb{q}_{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\left(\mathbb{4}_{j}\right),
$$

where,

$$
R\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 q_{j, 0}^{2}+2 q_{j, 1}^{2}-1 & 2 q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2}-2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3} & 2 q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3}+2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 2}  \tag{3.14}\\
2 q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2}+2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3} & 2 q_{j, 0}^{2}+2 q_{j, 2}^{2}-1 & 2 q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}-2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1} \\
2 q_{j, 3} q_{j, 1}-2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 2} & 2 q_{j, 3} q_{j, 2}+2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1} & 2 q_{j, 0}^{2}+2 q_{j, 3}^{2}-1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We have derived this Euler map in Appendix A.

In order to write Hamiltonian of the system, we derive total kinetic energy and total potential energy of the system in terms of quaternions. Translational kinetic energy is independent of quaternion and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {trans }}^{j}=\frac{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{2}}{2 m_{j}}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathbf{p}_{j}$ and $m_{j}$ are linear momentum and mass of $j^{\text {th }}$ body respectively.

We have derived angular velocity of a rotating body in terms of quaternions in Appendix A. Angular velocity $\omega^{j}$ of $j^{\text {th }}$ body depends on both, the quaternion $\mathbb{q}_{j}$ and its time derivative $\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}$. Therefore, rotational mass matrix, unlike translational mass matrix, will not be a constant and hence need special mention.

### 3.3.1 Rotational mass matrix

Rotation kinetic energy of $j^{\text {th }}$ rigid body is given by

$$
T_{\text {rot }}^{j}=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{j T}[J]^{j} \omega^{j},
$$

where $\omega^{j}$ is the angular velocity of the $j^{\text {th }}$ body in body fixed frame and $[J]^{j}$ is the principal moment of inertia matrix. Angular velocity $\omega^{j}$ from (A.12) is

$$
\omega^{j}=2 G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}, \quad G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 3} & -q_{j, 2} \\
-q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} \\
-q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Substituting $\omega^{j}$ in kinetic energy,

$$
T_{\text {rot }}^{j}=2 \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}^{T} G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T}[J]^{j} G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}
$$

Using Legendre transformation, conjugate momenta $g_{j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{j} & =\frac{\partial T_{r o t}^{j}}{\partial \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}} \\
& =4 G\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{T}[J]^{j} G\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the construction of $G\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)$, we see that $G\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathbb{q}_{j}=0$. Thus there is rank deficiency in the matrix $G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T}[J]^{j} G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$ and hence, is non-invertible. Therefore, we cannot get $\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}$ in terms of $\mathrm{g}_{j}$.

Lemma 1. In order to get $\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}$ in terms of $\mathfrak{g}_{j}$, augmented matrices $\hat{\omega}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}\right), \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$ and $[\hat{J}]^{j}$ are introduced such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\omega}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}, \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}\right)=\left[0 \omega^{T}\right]^{T}=2 \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)_{4 \times 4}\left[\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}\right], \\
\hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 2} & q_{j, 3} \\
-q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 3} & -q_{j, 2} \\
-q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} \\
-q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0}
\end{array}\right], \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
[\hat{J}]^{j}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{3.17}\\
0 & J_{1}^{j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & J_{2}^{j} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & J_{3}^{j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof: Appendix C

So, the rotational kinetic energy in terms of augmented matrices is,

$$
T_{\text {rot }}^{j}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}^{T} \mu\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j},
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)=4 \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T}[\hat{J}]^{j} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the rotational mass matrix.

We have derived the rotational mass matrix which is invertible and have show that it is unique. This mass matrix is the function of quaternion $\mathfrak{q}_{j}$ as expected from the form of angular velocity $\omega$.

Using this invertible mass matrix, we derive the rotational kinetic energy in terms conjugate momenta.

### 3.3.2 Rotational kinetic energy

From above subsection,

$$
T_{r o t}^{j}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}^{T} \mu\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j} .
$$

We obtain the conjugate momenta by legendre transform,

$$
\mathrm{g}_{j}=\frac{\partial T_{r o t}}{\partial \dot{\mathbb{q}}}=\mu\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j} .
$$

Using $\left[\hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{-1}=\hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{T}\right]$,

$$
\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}=\mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j},
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{T}\left[\hat{J}^{j}\right]^{-1} \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the rotational kinetic energy in terms of conjugate momenta is

$$
T_{r o t}^{j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}
$$

By structure of $\hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$ from equation C.3, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}=-\hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{q} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r o t}^{j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{q}_{j} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above equations is useful when we differentiate the Hamiltonian with quaternion $\mathbb{q}_{j}$.

### 3.3.3 Potential energy

Our system consists of $(\mathrm{N}+2)$ bodies, indexing from 0 to $\mathrm{N}+1$. We assume that $j^{\text {th }}$ body interact with its nearest neighbors, i.e., with $(j-1)^{t h}$ body and $(j+1)^{t h}$ body. It is also assumed that potential energy depends on relative position of center of mass of the bodies and their relative orientation. So, the general form of potential energy of the system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{j=0}^{N} V_{j, j+1}, \quad V_{i j}=V_{i j}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}, \mathfrak{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.4 Constraints

Unit quaternion constraint is incorporated in Hamiltonian by adding the term,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{j}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{q}_{j}-1\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\lambda_{j}$ is the Lagrangian multiplier corresponding to $j^{\text {th }}$ body. Because quaternion (vector in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ ) represents rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, there is only one constraint equation. We derive the
constraint on conjugate momenta $\mathrm{g}_{j}$

$$
\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}=0,
$$

in the subsection 3.3.8.

We, now have total kinetic energy, total potential energy and constraints on the system. So, we derive the Hamilton's equation for the three dimensional chain in terms of quaternions.

### 3.3.5 Hamiltonian

Hamiltonian of the system is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{n}, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{n}, \mathrm{~g}_{1}, \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{n}, \mathbb{q}_{1}, \ldots \mathbb{q}_{n}\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Phase space coordinates $\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j}\right)_{j=0,1, \ldots N+1}$ lie on the manifold,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j}\right)_{j=0,1, \ldots N+1} \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \ldots 2 \mathrm{~N}+4 \text { times }: \mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}=1, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}=0\right\} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppress argument of Hamiltonian from now onwards.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{2}}{2 m_{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \lambda_{j}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}-1\right) . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Hamilton's equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathfrak{g}_{j}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}, \frac{d \mathbb{q}_{j}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial ⿷_{j}}, \frac{d \mathbf{p}_{j}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{j}}, \frac{d \mathbf{r}_{j}}{d t}=\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{j}} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Hamilton's equations on the phase space $\mathcal{P}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \mathbf{p}_{j}}{d t}= & -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{j}}\left\{V_{j-1, j}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j-1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j-1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right)+V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right)\right\},  \tag{3.28a}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{r}_{j}}{d t}= & \frac{\mathbf{p}_{j}}{m_{j}},  \tag{3.28b}\\
\frac{d \mathbf{g}_{j}}{d t}= & -\mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \mathbb{q}_{j}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}\left\{V_{j-1, j}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j-1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j-1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right)\right.  \tag{3.28c}\\
& \left.+V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right)\right\}-2 \lambda_{j} \mathbb{q}_{j}, \\
\frac{d \mathfrak{q}_{j}}{d t}= & \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j} . \tag{3.28d}
\end{align*}
$$

Above equations are applicable for $j=1,2, \ldots, N$. Equations for $j=0, N+1$ are given according to boundary conditions.

### 3.3.6 Gradient of potential

In this subsection, we derive the gradient of potential with respect to rotation matrix $R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)$ and with respect to position vector $\mathbf{r}_{i}$. We assume, the interaction to be of particle-particle type. In that case potential energy contribution from $k^{\text {th }}$ particle of $i^{\text {th }}$ body and $l^{\text {th }}$ particle of $j^{t h}$ body is the function of distance $r_{i, j}^{k, l}$ between them, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{r_{i, j}^{k, l}\right\}^{2}= & \left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right)\right\} \cdot\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right)\right\} \\
= & \mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}+2 \mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}+\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}+\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}+2 \mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot R\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}+\mathbf{r}_{j}^{l} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l} \\
& -2\left(\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}+\mathbf{r}_{i} \cdot R\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}+\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k} \cdot R\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the contribution to potential energy from the pair $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{t h}$ body is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} V_{i j}^{k, l}\left(r_{i, j}^{k, l}\right) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\partial V_{i j}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}} \frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}} .
$$

For obtaining the derivative of potential with respect to position vector $\mathbf{r}_{j}$, we calculate

$$
\frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}=\frac{1}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}-\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}+R\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right)\right\} .
$$

Similarly for differentiation with respect to quaternion $\mathbb{q}_{i}$,

$$
\frac{\partial V_{i j}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{p}} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}} \frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}},
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial q_{i, j}} \\
\frac{\partial r_{i, l}, l}{\partial q_{i, l}} \\
\frac{\partial r_{i, l}^{, l}}{\partial q_{i, l}} \\
\frac{\partial r_{i, l}, l}{, k} \\
\frac{\partial r_{i, j}}{\partial q_{i, 3}}
\end{array}\right] . \\
& \frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial q_{i, s}}=\frac{1}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\left\{\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}\right)-\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}+R\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}^{l}\right)\right\} \cdot \frac{\partial R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T}}{\partial q_{i, s}} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}, \quad[s=0,1,2,3] . \\
& \frac{\partial R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T}}{\partial q_{i, 0}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{i, 0} & q_{i, 3} & -q_{i, 2} \\
-q_{i, 3} & q_{i, 0} & q_{i, 1} \\
q_{i, 2} & -q_{i, 1} & q_{i, 0}
\end{array}\right], \quad \frac{\partial R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T}}{\partial q_{i, 1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{i, 1} & q_{i, 2} & q_{i, 3} \\
q_{i, 2} & -q_{i, 1} & q_{i, 0} \\
q_{i, 3} & -q_{i, 0} & -q_{i, 1}
\end{array}\right], \\
& \frac{\partial R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T}}{\partial q_{i, 0}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-q_{i, 2} & q_{i, 1} & -q_{i, 0} \\
q_{i, 1} & q_{i, 2} & q_{i, 3} \\
q_{i, 0} & q_{i, 3} & -q_{i, 2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \frac{\partial R\left(\mathbb{q}_{i}\right)^{T}}{\partial q_{i, 1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-q_{i, 3} & q_{i, 0} & q_{i, 1} \\
-q_{i, 0} & -q_{i, 3} & q_{i, 2} \\
q_{i, 1} & q_{i, 2} & q_{i, 3}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3.7 Boundary condition

We consider 3 possible set of boundary conditions, $c_{1}-c_{1}, c_{1}-c_{2}$ and $c_{1}-c_{3}$, which have been described below.

1. $c_{1}-c_{1}$ : In this case, both ends are clamped. Hence, coordinates of $0^{\text {th }}$ and $(N+1)^{\text {th }}$ body remain same for all time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{0}(0), & \mathbb{q}_{0}(t)=\mathbb{q}_{0}(0), \\
\mathbf{r}_{N+1}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{N+1}(0), & \mathbb{q}_{N+1}(t)=\mathbb{q}_{N+1}(0), \\
\mathbf{p}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & g_{0}(t)=0_{4 \times 1}, \\
\mathbf{p}_{N+1}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathbf{g}_{N+1}(t)=0_{4 \times 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations (3.28a)-(3.28d) are solved for $j=1,2,3, \ldots N$.
2. $c_{1}-c_{2}$ : In this case, one end is clamped and force is applied at other end, but this end is free to rotate. So, for $0^{t h}$ body,

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\mathbf{r}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{0}(0), & \mathfrak{q}_{0}(t)=\mathbb{q}_{0}(0) \\
\mathbf{p}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathfrak{g}_{0}(t)=0_{4 \times 1}
\end{array}
$$

Let the applied constant force be $\mathbf{F}$. Then work done on the system is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{W}_{F}=-\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{N+1} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We add this work $\mathscr{W}_{F}$ to the Hamiltonian of the system (3.26) and using Hamilton's equations (3.28a)-(3.28d), we get equations for $(N+1)^{t h}$ body as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{d t} & =-\frac{\partial\left(V_{N, N+1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{N}, \mathbb{q}_{N+1}, \mathbf{r}_{N}, \mathbf{r}_{N+1}\right)\right.}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{N+1}}+\mathbf{F} \\
\frac{d \mathbf{r}_{N+1}}{d t} & =\frac{\mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{m_{N+1}}, \\
\frac{d \mathbf{g}_{N+1}}{d t} & =-\frac{\partial\left(V_{N, N+1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{N}, \mathfrak{q}_{N+1}, \mathbf{r}_{N}, \mathbf{r}_{N+1}\right)\right.}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{N+1}}-2 \mathfrak{q}_{N+1} \lambda_{N+1} \\
\frac{d \mathfrak{q}_{N+1}}{d t} & =\mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{N+1}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{N+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations for $j=1,2, \ldots N$ remain same as in (3.28a)-(3.28d).
3. $c_{1}-c_{3}$ : In this case, one end is clamped and displacement $\mathbf{d}$ is specified at other end, but this end is free to rotate. So, the boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{r}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{r}_{0}(0), & \mathbb{q}_{0}(t)=\mathbb{q}_{0}(0), \\
\mathbf{p}_{0}(t)=0_{3 \times 1}, & \mathrm{~g}_{0}(t)=0_{4 \times 1}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{N+1}(t)=\mathbf{d}, & \mathbf{p}_{N+1}(t)=0_{3 \times 1} .
\end{array}
$$

Equations for $(N+1)^{\text {th }}$ body are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{d t}=0_{3 \times 1}, \\
& \frac{d \mathbf{r}_{N+1}}{d t}=0_{3 \times 1}, \\
& \frac{d \mathbf{g}_{N+1}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial\left(V_{N, N+1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{N}, \mathbb{q}_{N+1}, \mathbf{r}_{N}, \mathbf{d}\right)\right.}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{N+1}}-2 \mathbb{q}_{N+1} \lambda_{N+1}, \\
& \frac{d \mathfrak{q}_{N+1}}{d t}=\mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{N+1}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{N+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations for $j=1,2, \ldots N$ remain same as in (3.28a)-(3.28d).

We derive the expression for the Lagrange multiplier using these Hamiltonian equations and the constraint expression. We feed this Lagrange multiplier in Hamilton's equations and solve the ODEs.

### 3.3.8 Expression for Lagrange multiplier $\lambda_{j}$

Using (3.19) and (3.28d),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j} & =\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{T}\left[\hat{J}^{-1}\right] \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}[1,0,0,0] \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating the unit quaternion constraint with respect to time, we get $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}=0$. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{g}_{j}=0 .
$$

Differentiating above constraint with respect to time, we have $\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \dot{g}_{j}+\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}=0$. Using (3.28d) and (3.28d),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \dot{\mathrm{~g}}_{j}+\dot{\mathfrak{q}}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}= & -\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{q}_{j}-\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_{j}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}-2 \lambda_{j} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}  \tag{3.32}\\
& +\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j} . \\
\Longrightarrow \lambda_{j}= & -\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathfrak{q}_{j}},
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\tilde{V}_{j}=\left\{\begin{align*}
& V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right), \text { if } j=0,  \tag{3.33}\\
& V_{j-1, j}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j-1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j-1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right) \\
&+V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right), \text { if } 0<j<n+1, \\
& V_{j-1, j}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j-1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j-1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right), \text { if } j=N+1
\end{align*}\right.
$$

### 3.3.9 Non-Dimensionalisation

We reduce number of parameters by non-dimensionalising equations by following parameters,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{j} & =L \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{j}^{k} & =L \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}^{k} \\
m_{j} & =M \tilde{m}_{j}, \\
t & =\tau \tilde{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p}_{j} & =m_{j} \frac{d \mathbf{r}_{j}}{d t}=\frac{M L}{\tau} \tilde{m}_{j} \frac{d \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}}{d \tilde{t}}=\frac{M L}{\tau} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \\
\hat{J}^{j} & =M L^{2} \hat{\tilde{J}}^{j}, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{j} & =4 \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)[\hat{\tilde{J}}]^{j} \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}=\frac{M L^{2}}{\tau} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, rewriting equations (3.28a)- (3.28d) in terms of non-dimensional entities,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{M L^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{d \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{j}}{d \tilde{t}}= & -\frac{\partial\left(V\left(\mathbb{q}_{j-1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j-1}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right)+V\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j+1}\right)\right)}{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{j}}, \\
\frac{d \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}}{d \tilde{t}}= & \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{j}}{\tilde{m}_{j}}, \\
\frac{M L^{2}}{\tau^{2}} \frac{d \tilde{\mathrm{~g}}_{j}}{d \tilde{t}}= & -\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{M L^{2}}{\tau^{2}}\right) \hat{G}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~g}}_{j}\right)^{T}\left[\hat{\tilde{J}}^{j}\right]^{-1} \hat{G}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~g}}_{j}\right) \mathbb{q}_{j} \\
& -\frac{\partial\left(V\left(\mathbb{q}_{j-1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j-1}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right)+V\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j+1}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}, \tau \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j+1}\right)\right)}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}} \\
& -2 \lambda_{j} \mathbb{q}_{j}, \\
\frac{d \mathfrak{d}_{j}}{d \tilde{t}}= & \frac{1}{4} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T}[\hat{\tilde{J}}]^{j} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{g}}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have derived Hamilton's equations for three dimensional chain in terms of quaternion. The main challenge in the formalism is forcing the unit quaternion constraint. We have derived the expression for Lagrange multiplier for continuous ODEs.

After introducing this multiplier, we estimate the order of error in unit quaternion constraint. We derive the order of error analytically for Euler-B scheme and numerically for higher order algorithms. Also, we prove the symplecticity of the algorithm for Euler-A symplectic scheme. We are using Gauss6 symplectic sixth order scheme to solve the chain problem, but providing analytical proof for order of unit quaternion constraint and symplecticity of this algorithm is bit difficult. However, this can be done in similar way as we demonstrate it for Euler-B and Euler-A scheme.

### 3.4 Order and Symplecticity of constrained algorithm

Let the conjugate pairs of the Hamiltonian system be $\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right)_{j=0,1, \ldots N+1}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)_{j=0,1, \ldots N+1}$.

Hamiltonian of the constrained system is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{N+1}, \mathbf{r}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{N+1}, \mathrm{~g}_{0}, \mathrm{~g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}_{N+1}, \mathfrak{q}_{0}, \mathfrak{q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{q}_{N+1}\right)  \tag{3.34}\\
& =\tilde{\mathscr{H}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_{N+1}, \mathbf{r}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{N+1}, \mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathrm{~g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{N+1}, \mathfrak{q}_{0}, \mathfrak{q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_{N+1}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \lambda_{j}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \mathfrak{q}_{j}-1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathscr{H}}=\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{j}^{2}}{2 m_{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} V_{j, j+1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}, \mathfrak{q}_{j+1}, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j+1}\right) . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the step size of the discretization be $h$. Let $(\cdot)_{j}^{k}$ denote $(\cdot)$ value of $j^{\text {th }}$ body at $k^{\text {th }}$ step. When we solve for $\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$ and $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$, Hamiltonian is the function of these variables only.

### 3.4.1 Order of the constrained algorithm

Using Euler-B, a symplectic scheme, we show here, the order of error in the constraints $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}=1$ and $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} ⿷_{j}=0$. Euler-B discretization for conjugate pair $\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}, \mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} & =\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}+h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right),  \tag{3.36}\\
\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1} & =\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n}-h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}}\left(\mathbb{g}_{j}^{n+1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right)-2 \lambda_{j} h \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n} . \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.28d), (3.28d) and (3.33)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) & =\mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}, \\
\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) & =\mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right) \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}+\frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathfrak{q}_{j}}, \\
\lambda_{j} & =-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathfrak{q}_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $\frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}$ is valuated at $\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n+1}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right)$.
Unit quaternion constraint

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} & =\left\{\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n T}+h\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right)\right\}\left\{\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}+h \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right) g_{j}^{n+1}\right\} \\
& \left.=\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{N}+2 h \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right)\right)_{j}^{n+1}+h^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using 3.18, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) g_{j}^{n+1}=4 \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right)^{T}\left[\hat{J} j^{j} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) g_{j}^{n+1}\right. \\
& =4 \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T}\left[\hat{J}^{-1}\right] \hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathbb{g}_{j}^{n+1} \\
& =4[1,0,0,0] \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathrm{g}_{j}^{n+1} \\
& =4 q_{j}^{n T} \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n+1} \\
& =4 \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n}+O(h) . \quad[\mathrm{Using} 3.37]
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}=1$ and $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} g_{j}^{n}=0$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}=1+O\left(h^{2}\right) . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constraint on conjugate momenta

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}= & \left\{\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n T}+h\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right)\right\}\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n}-h \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right) \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right. \\
& \left.-h \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}+h\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}\right) \mathbb{q}_{n}^{j}\right\} \\
= & \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n}-h\left\{\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n}+\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right) \mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right\} \\
& -h \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}+h \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{N}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n T} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{j}}\right)+O\left(h^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.37) and the property

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}=\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{q}_{j},
$$

we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathrm{g}_{j}^{n} & =\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}\right) \mathrm{g}_{j}^{n+1}+O(h) \\
& =\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n T} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n+1}\right) \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}+O(h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using above expression and assuming that $\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}=1$ and $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n T} \mathbb{g}_{j}^{n}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}=0+O\left(h^{2}\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from equations (3.38) and (3.39) that Euler-B scheme respect the constraints $\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}=1\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} ⿷_{j}=0\right)$ upto second order.

For a typical symmetric top problem, we plot the error in quaternion constraint vs step size for the higher order symplectic algorithms. In the figure 3.3 , we see that all the symplectic


Figure 3.3: Error in unit quaternion vs step size for symplectic algorithms
algorithms, second order schemes Implicit-midpoint and Stormer-Verlet, fifth order scheme Sungeng and sixth order scheme Gauss6 respect the unit quaternion constraint upto second order only. However, Gauss6, being a higher order algorithm, has least magnitude of error compared to rest of the algorithms.

### 3.4.2 Symplecticity of the constrained algorithm

We use first order symplectic Euler- $A$ scheme. Euler- $A$ discretization is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} & =\mathbf{r}_{j}^{n}+h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}\right), \\
\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n+1} & =\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}-h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}\right), \\
\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} & =\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}+h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right), \\
\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1} & =\mathbb{g}_{j}^{n}-h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{q}}\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)-2 \lambda_{j} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking one－form of the above discretization，

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n}+h d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}\right),  \tag{3.40a}\\
d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}-h d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}\right),  \tag{3.40b}\\
d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} & =d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n}+h d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{g}}\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right),  \tag{3.40c}\\
d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n+1} & =d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n}-h d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}}\left(g_{j}^{n}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)-2 \lambda_{j} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} . \tag{3.40~d}
\end{align*}
$$

Using chain rule，

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}\right)=\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p}} d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}+\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p}} d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}  \tag{3.41a}\\
& d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}, \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}\right)=\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{r p}} d \mathbf{p}_{N}+\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1}  \tag{3.41b}\\
& d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)=\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{gg}} d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n}+\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{gq}} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1},  \tag{3.41c}\\
& d \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}}\left(\mathrm{g}_{j}^{n}, \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)=\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}} d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n}+\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}-2 \lambda_{j} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}-2 \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} d \lambda_{j} . \tag{3.41~d}
\end{align*}
$$

Some properties of wedge products are，

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbf{a} \wedge d \mathbf{a}=0, \quad d \mathbf{a} \wedge d \mathbf{b}=-d \mathbf{b} \wedge d \mathbf{a}, \quad d \mathbf{a} \wedge(A d \mathbf{a})=\left(A^{T} d \mathbf{a}\right) \wedge d \mathbf{a} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From（3．40a）and（3．40b）and using（3．41a）and（3．41b），

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n} & =d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}+h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p}} d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n+}{ }^{0}  \tag{3.43a}\\
d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n}-h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{r}} d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} \tag{3.43b}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding above two equations，

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n+1}=d \mathbf{r}_{j}^{n} \wedge d \mathbf{p}_{j}^{n} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From（3．40c）and（3．40d）and using（3．41c）and（3．41d），

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d ⿷_{j}^{n}=d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n} \wedge d \Phi_{j}^{n}+h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{gg}} d \Phi_{j}^{n} \wedge d \tilde{g}_{j}^{n+0}+h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathrm{gq}} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n}, \\
& d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \unlhd_{j}^{n+1}=d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d ⿷_{n}^{j}-h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}}^{T}, d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \Phi_{j}^{n}-h \tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbb{q}} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} 0 \\
& -2 \lambda d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \widetilde{q}_{j}^{n+1}-2\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \lambda_{j} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding above two equations,

$$
d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{n+1}=d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n} \wedge d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n}-2\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \lambda_{j} .
$$

From the equation 3.38,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}=1+O\left(h^{2}\right), \\
\quad \Longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1}\right)^{T} d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \lambda_{j}=0, \quad \forall \lambda_{j} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n+1}=d \mathbb{q}_{j}^{n} \wedge d \mathrm{~g}_{j}^{n} . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from above subsection that addition of Lagrange multiplier successfully force the unit quaternion constraint upto second order. We also see that addition of the constraint term to the Hamiltonian of the system does not destroy its symplecticity. We, now have the Hamiltonian formulation for the three dimensional chain of rigid bodies in terms of quaternion.

### 3.5 Example

The figure above shows a tetrahedron with particles at its vertices attached through rigid link. Let us assume mass of each particle to be $m$. Let us denote position vector of $k^{\text {th }}$ particle of $i^{\text {th }}$ body in body fixed frame as $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{k}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r}_{i}^{1} & =-\frac{a}{2} e_{1}^{i}-\frac{a}{3} e_{2}^{i}-\frac{a}{4} e_{3}^{i}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{i}^{2} & =\frac{a}{2} e_{1}^{i}-\frac{a}{3} e_{2}^{i}-\frac{a}{4} e_{3}^{i}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{i}^{1} & =0 e_{1}^{i}+\frac{2 a}{3} e_{2}^{i}-\frac{a}{4} e_{3}^{i}, \\
\mathbf{r}_{i}^{1} & =0 e_{1}^{i}+0 e_{2}^{i}+\frac{3 a}{4} e_{3}^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.4: Rigid tetrahedron

Moment of inertia of the tetrahedron is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{11}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} m_{k}\left(y_{k}^{2}+z_{k}^{2}\right)=\frac{17 a^{2}}{12}, \\
& I_{22}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} m_{k}\left(x_{k}^{2}+z_{k}^{2}\right)=\frac{5 a^{2}}{4}, \\
& I_{33}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} m_{k}\left(x_{k}^{2}+y_{k}^{2}\right)=\frac{5 a^{2}}{6}, \\
& I_{12}=I_{13}=I_{23}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5.1 Potential energy

We assume Lennard-Jones potential [24] interaction between two tetrahedrons. So the potential energy contribution from two particles, $k, l$ of $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ body respectively is given by

$$
V_{i j}^{k, l}=4 \epsilon\left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\right)^{12}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\right)^{6}\right]
$$

where, $r_{i, j}^{k, l}$ (from (3.29)) denotes distance between $k$ particle of $i^{\text {th }}$ body and $l$ particle of $j^{t h}$ body.

So, potential energy contribution from pair $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ body is,

$$
V_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{l=1}^{4} V_{i j}^{k, l} .
$$

Partial differentiation of $V^{i j}$ with respect to $\mathbf{r}^{i}$ and $q^{i}$ are,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial V_{i j}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{l=1}^{4} \frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}, \\
\frac{\partial V_{i j}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{l=1}^{4} \frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}} . \\
\frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}=-\frac{24 \epsilon}{\sigma}\left[2\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\right)^{13}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\right)^{7}\right] \frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}, \\
\frac{\partial V_{i j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}}=-\frac{24 \epsilon}{\sigma}\left[2\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\right)^{13}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{i, j}^{k, l}}\right)^{7}\right] \frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbb{q}_{i}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where, $\frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial r_{i, j}^{k, l}}{\partial q_{i}}$ are obtained from (3.3.6) and (3.3.6) respectively.
We, solve the above example for the system containing 8 tetrahedrons, using sixth order symplectic Gauss6 scheme for a typical initial velocity, and following parameters.

$$
a=1 m, \text { mass }=1 k g, r_{i}(0)=(2 i, 0,0), i=1,2, \ldots, 8, \mathbf{F}=(3,0,0), \epsilon=5, \sigma=1 .
$$



Figure 3.5: Energy(in J) vs number of steps for c1-c2 boundary condition


Figure 3.6: Energy(in J) vs number of steps for c1-c1 boundary condition

Figure 3.5 and 3.6 show the energy of the system for c1-c2 (clamped-constant force) and c1c1 (clamped-clamped) boundary condition respectively. Hamiltonian of the system remain conserved in both the cases. Hence our formulatio of the chain problem successfully conserve the Hamiltonian of the system.

Computation time We observe the effect of number of bodies and the effect of number of particle in the body on computation time. We use disc shape of rigid body as the example. Particles are assumed to be uniformly distributed on the circumference of two circles forming faces of the disc. For a typical initial condition, parameters used are,

$$
\text { radius of disc } a=.05 \mathrm{~m}, \text { thickness } t=a / 10, \sigma=1, \epsilon=10^{-6}, \mathbf{F}=.03 \mathrm{Nin} \mathrm{x} \text { direction, }
$$

where, $\mathbf{F}$ is the force applied at last body.

The calculation has been done using Gauss6 scheme with step size $h=.01$ and tolerance $10^{-9}$. The machine used is eight core "Intel(R) Xenon cpu ES420 @2.50GHz"


Figure 3.7: Number of particle $n_{p}$ vs time for Number of body $\mathrm{N}=6$


Figure 3.8: Number of body $N$ vs time for number of particle $=12$

Figures clearly show the increase in computation time as number of bodies/ particles are increased.

In order to demonstrate the accuracy of unit quaternion constraint, we plot the error in quaternions for the system with parameters as defined above and number of bodies $=8$ and number of particles $=8$.

Figure 3.9 shows that quaternions remain unit to a good extent for all the bodies in the chain.


Figure 3.9: Error in unit quaternion for different bodies

## Chapter 4

## Conclusion and Future work

### 4.1 Conclusion

We have successfully established a Hamiltonian formulation for a chain of rigid bodies using Rotation matrix and quaternions as rotation parameters. We have modeled rigid bodies as an array of particles attached to each other through rigid links. Therefore, our formulation can be used for any shape of the rigid body.

We have introduced the Lagrangian multiplier into already existing symplectic algorithms and have achieved a second order algorithm with respect to unit quaternion constraint. We have also shown that introduction of the Lagrange multiplier into Hamiltonian system does not affect the symplecticity of the system. In the figure 3.7 and 3.8 , we have shown computation time for different sets of number of particles and number of bodies. Figures suggest that algorithm used is significantly fast and hence can be applied for large number of bodies.

### 4.2 Future Work

A symplectic algorithm conserving the unit quaternion constraint upto higher order can be devised. When the equation of motion for the chain of rigid bodies is linearized about its equilibrium position, we are able to calculate the natural frequency of the system. This
natural frequency can be used has a parameter to compare the motion of a chain of large number of rigid bodies and a Cosserat rod.

## Appendix A

## Rotation matrix

## A. 1 Rotation matrix in terms of quaternions

Quaternions is corresponding to $j^{t h}$ body is defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{q}^{j}=q_{j, 0}+q_{j, 1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+q_{j, 2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+q_{j, 3} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{3}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We derive a rotation tensor $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}\right)$ which relates basis $\left\{e_{i}^{j}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ of $j^{\text {th }}$ body fixed frame to stationary frame basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$. Quaternions represent rotation iff their mod is unity, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q^{j}\right\|^{2}=q_{j, 0}^{2}+q_{j, 1}^{2}+q_{j, 2}^{2}+q_{j, 3}^{2}=1 \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here onwards, we consider unit quaternion only. The quaternion can be used to describe rotation about an axis $\mathbf{r}$ through an angle $\phi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{q}^{j}=\cos \frac{\phi}{2}+\sin \frac{\phi}{2} \mathbf{r} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j, 0}=\cos \frac{\phi}{2}, \quad q_{j, 1}=\sin \frac{\phi}{2} r_{1}, \quad q_{j, 2}=\sin \frac{\phi}{2} r_{2}, \quad q_{j, 3}=\sin \frac{\phi}{2} r_{3}, \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i}$ is $i^{\text {th }}$ component of unit vector $\mathbf{r}$.
Using Rodrigue's rotation formula, if a vector $\mathbf{v}$ is rotated by angle $\phi$ about an axis $\mathbf{r}$, then
the rotated vector is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{r o t}=\mathbf{v} \cos \phi+(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}) \sin \phi+\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})(1-\cos \phi) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We derive the rotation matrix corresponding to this rotation in terms of quaternion as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}^{r o t} & =\mathbf{v} \cos \phi+(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}) \sin \phi+\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})(1-\cos \phi)  \tag{A.6}\\
& =\mathbf{v}\left(2 \cos ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}-1\right)+2 \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \mathbf{v}+2 \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}(\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v}  \tag{A.7}\\
& =\mathbf{R v} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ is the skew tensor corresponding to axial vector $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}\right)$ is the rotation tensor given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R}= & \left(2 \cos ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}-1\right) \mathbf{I}+2 \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \cos \frac{\phi}{2}+2 \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}(\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}) \\
= & \left(2 q_{j, 0}^{2}-1\right) \mathbf{I}+2 \cos \frac{\phi}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -r_{3} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{2} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \\
r_{3} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} & 0 & -r_{1} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} \\
-r_{2} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{1} \sin \frac{\phi}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{A.9}\\
& +2\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{1} r_{3} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} \\
r_{2} r_{1} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{2}^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{2} r_{3} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} \\
r_{3} r_{1} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{3} r_{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2} & r_{3}^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\phi}{2}
\end{array}\right] \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Components of this tensor is represented by matrix $R$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}\right) & =\left(2 q_{j, 0}^{2}-1\right) \mathbf{I}+2 q_{j, 0}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} \\
q_{j, 3} & 0 & -q_{j, 1} \\
-q_{j, 2} & q_{j, 1} & 0
\end{array}\right]+2\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{j, 1}^{2} & q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2} & q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3} \\
q_{j, 2} q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 2}^{2} & q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3} \\
q_{j, 3} q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 3} q_{j, 2} & q_{j, 3}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
= & {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 q_{j, 0}^{2}+2 q_{j, 1}^{2}-1 & 2 q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2}-2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3} & 2 q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3}+2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 2} \\
2 q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2}+2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3} & 2 q_{j, 0}^{2}+2 q_{j, 2}^{2}-1 & 2 q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}-2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1} \\
2 q_{j, 3} q_{j, 1}-2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 2} & 2 q_{j, 3} q_{j, 2}+2 q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1} & 2 q_{j, 0}^{2}+2 q_{j, 3}^{2}-1
\end{array}\right] } \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Angular velocity $\omega\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}, \dot{\mathbb{q}}^{j}\right)$ is the axial vector of skew symmetric tensor $\dot{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{T}}$. Angular velocity of $j^{\text {th }}$ body in body fixed basis $\left\{e_{i}^{j}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ is,

$$
\omega^{j}\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}, \dot{\mathbb{q}}^{j}\right)=\operatorname{axial}\left(\dot{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)=2 G\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
q_{j, 0}  \tag{A.12}\\
q_{\dot{j, 1}} \\
q_{\dot{j, 2}} \\
q_{j, 3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where,

$$
G\left(\mathbb{q}^{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 3} & -q_{j, 2}  \tag{A.13}\\
-q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} \\
-q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## A. 2 Euler angle and quaternions

If a frame is rotated by angle $\theta$ about axis $\mathbf{n}$, then quaternion coresponding to this rotation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{q}_{n}(\theta)=\cos \theta / 2+\mathbf{n} \sin \theta / 2 \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\mathbf{Z Y Z}$ class of rotation. So quaternions associating body fixed frame $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ with stationary frame $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{q}=\mathbb{q}_{z}(\phi) \mathbb{q}_{y}(\theta) \mathbb{q}_{z}(\psi) \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{Q}= & (\cos \phi / 2+\mathbf{k} \sin \phi / 2)(\cos \theta / 2+\mathbf{j} \sin \theta / 2)(\cos \psi / 2+\mathbf{k} \sin \psi / 2)  \tag{A.16}\\
= & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right)+i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \\
& +j \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right)+k \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

So, the component of quaternion are,

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{0}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \\
& q_{1}=\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \\
& q_{2}=\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \\
& q_{3}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \tag{A.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating above equations with respect to time,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{q}_{0}=-\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2}-\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\phi}+\dot{\psi}}{2}  \tag{A.18}\\
& \dot{q}_{1}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2}+\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\phi}+\dot{\psi}}{2}  \tag{A.19}\\
& \dot{q}_{2}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2}-\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\phi}+\dot{\psi}}{2}  \tag{A.20}\\
& \dot{q}_{3}=-\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2}+\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \left(\frac{\phi+\psi}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{\phi}+\dot{\psi}}{2} \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we obtain the angular velocity using (A.12).

## Appendix B

## RATTLE algorithm

SHAKE/RATTLE method is used to solve constrained Hamiltonian system.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}^{n+1} & =\mathbf{R}^{n}+h \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} & =\mathbf{P}^{n}-\frac{h}{2} V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)-h \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \\
\mathbf{I} & =\left[\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{n+1} & =\mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{h}{2} V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right)-h \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(v)} \\
\mathbf{0} & =\left[\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{P}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Symplecticity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{R}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{R}^{n}+h d \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \\
d \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} & =d \mathbf{P}^{n}-\frac{h}{2} V_{Q Q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) d \mathbf{R}^{n}-h d \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \\
d \mathbf{P}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{h}{2} V_{Q Q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right) d \mathbf{R}^{n+1}-h d \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(v)} \\
d \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} \\
d \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} & =d \mathbf{R}^{n} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2} \\
& =d \mathbf{R}^{n} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n} \\
\Longrightarrow d \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n+1} & =d \mathbf{R}^{n} \wedge d \mathbf{P}^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence this discretisation is Symplectic.

Order of Algorithm: Order of RATTLE algorithm will be defined as minimum of order of discretisation and order of numerical scheme solving non-linear constrained equation B.1. We compare this discretisation with taylor series. From rattle discretisation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}^{n+1}=\mathbf{R}^{n}+h \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)+2 \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From taylor series,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{R}_{\text {exact }}^{n+1}=\mathbf{R}^{n}+\left.h \frac{d \mathbf{R}}{d t}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}+\left.\frac{h^{2}}{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{R}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}+O\left(h^{3}\right)  \tag{B.2}\\
& \mathbf{P}_{\text {exact }}^{n+1}=\mathbf{P}^{n}+\left.h \frac{d \mathbf{P}}{d t}\right|_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}+\left.\frac{h^{2}}{2} \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{P}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}+O\left(h^{3}\right)  \tag{B.3}\\
& \left\{\left.\frac{d \mathbf{R}}{d t}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}\right\}_{i j}=\mathbf{P}_{i k}^{n} \mathbf{R}_{k j}^{-1}  \tag{B.4}\\
& \left\{\left.\frac{d^{2} \mathbf{R}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}\right\}_{i j}=\frac{d \mathbf{P}_{i k}^{n}}{d t} \mathbf{R}_{k j}^{-1}  \tag{B.5}\\
& =-\left(V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)+2 \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)_{i k} \mathbf{R}_{k j}^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Using above two equations and (B.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}_{\text {exact }}^{n+1}=\mathbf{R}^{n}+h \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)-2 \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)+O\left(h^{3}\right) \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{R}_{\text {exact }}^{n+1}-\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right\|=O\left(h^{3}\right) \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{d \mathbf{P}}{d t}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} & =-V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)-2 \mathbf{R}^{n} \Lambda  \tag{B.8}\\
\left.\frac{d^{2} \mathbf{P}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)} & =-V_{Q Q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}-2 \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \Lambda_{(v)}  \tag{B.9}\\
V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right) & =V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}+h \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+O\left(h^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{B.10}\\
& =V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)+V_{Q Q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\left(h \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)+O\left(h^{3}\right) \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{\text {exact }}^{n+1} & \left.=\mathbf{P}^{n}-h\left(V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)+2 \mathbf{R}^{n} \Lambda_{(v)}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(V_{Q Q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+2 \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \Lambda_{(v)}\right)+O\left(\mathbf{B}^{2} . \mathbb{1}\right) 2\right) \\
\mathbf{P}^{n+1} & =\mathbf{P}^{n}-h\left(V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)+\mathbf{R}^{n} \Lambda_{(r)}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(V_{Q Q}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)-h \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \Lambda_{(v)}
\end{aligned}
$$

From above two equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\text {exact }}^{n+1}-\mathbf{P}^{n+1}=-2 h \mathbf{R}^{n} \Lambda_{(v)}+h \mathbf{R}^{n} \Lambda_{(r)}-h^{2} \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \Lambda_{(v)}+h \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \Lambda_{(v)} \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we conclude that the Rattle algorithm is $2^{\text {nd }}$ order.

Solving Constrained equation: Assuming

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}=\mathbf{R}^{n}+h \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{R}^{-1}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \mathbf{R}^{-1} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (B.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}^{n+1}=\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}-h^{2} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting above equation in (B.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}-h^{2} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}-h^{2} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)-\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{0} \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us say

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)=\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}-h^{2} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)^{T}\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}-h^{2} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)-\mathbf{I} . \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then solving (B.16) is equivalent to finding roots of $f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)$. In case of multiple root and small step size, we take $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}$ with smaller magnitude. Newton-Raphson technique ${ }^{2}$ is used to find roots of $f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)}{d \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}}=-2 h^{2} A \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where, $[A]_{i j}=\left[\mathbf{R}^{n}\right]_{p i}\left[\mathbf{R}^{-1}\right]_{j q}\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}-h^{2} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}\right)_{p q}$. Thus we get the iteration scheme as,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{k+1}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{k}-\left.\left(\frac{d f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right)}{d \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}}\right)^{-1}\right|_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{k}} f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{k}\right) \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

For initial guess $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{0}$, we solve for the linear terms of (B.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]^{T}\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]-\mathbf{I}-h^{2}\left\{\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n T} \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right\} }  \tag{B.20}\\
& +h^{4} \mathbf{R}^{-T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n T} \mathbf{R}^{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \tag{B.21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (B.16), we see that $\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n}=\mathbf{R}^{n T} \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}=\mathbf{I}+O(h)$. Ignoring $h^{3}$ and higher order terms in (B.21) and using symmetry of $\mathbf{R}^{-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(\mathbf{r})}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \approx\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]^{T}\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]-\mathbf{I}-h^{2}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(r)}\right\} \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get initial guess of $\Lambda_{(r)}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{(r), i j}^{0}=\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{R}_{i i} \mathbf{R}_{j j}}{\mathbf{R}_{i i}+\mathbf{R}_{j j}}\left(\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]^{T}\left[\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n+1}\right]-\mathbf{I}\right)_{i j} \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

After getting $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, we solve for $\Lambda_{(v)}$ using (B.1) and (B.1). Let us say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{P}}^{n+1}=\mathbf{P}^{n+1 / 2}-\frac{h}{2} V_{R}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right) \tag{B.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{0}= & {\left[\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{P}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n+1} }  \tag{B.25}\\
= & {\left[\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \overline{\mathbf{P}}^{n+1} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{P}^{-\bar{n}+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n+1} }  \tag{B.26}\\
& \left.-h\left\{\Lambda_{(v)}\right) \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-1} \Lambda_{(v)}\right\} \tag{B.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(v)}=\left\{\lambda_{i j}\right\}, \mathbf{R}=\left\{r_{i j}\right\}$ and $M=\left[\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right]^{T} \overline{\mathbf{P}}^{n+1} \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{R}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{P}^{\bar{n}+1}\right]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i j}=\frac{r_{i i} r_{j j}}{h\left(r_{i i}+r_{j j}\right)} M_{i j} \tag{B.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Appendix C

## Proof of Lemma 1

We write angular velocity $\omega^{j}$ as $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ vector,

$$
\hat{\omega}^{j}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}, \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}\right)=\left[0 \omega^{T}\right]^{T}=2 \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)_{4 \times 4}\left[\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}\right],
$$

where, $\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\dot{q}_{j, 0} & \dot{q}_{j, 1}, & \dot{q}_{j, 2}, \\ \dot{q}_{j, 3}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ and

$$
\hat{G}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)_{4 \times 4}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{p}^{T} \\
\mathbb{w}_{1}{ }^{T} \\
\mathbb{w}_{2}{ }^{T} \\
\mathbb{w}_{3}{ }^{T}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where, $\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{v}_{1}, \mathbb{v}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{v}_{3}$ are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Vectors $\mathbb{w}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{v}_{3}$ are defined as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{v}_{1}^{T} \\
\mathbb{v}_{2}^{T} \\
\mathbb{w}_{3}^{T}
\end{array}\right]=G\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 3} & -q_{j, 2} \\
-q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} \\
-q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Augmented inertia matrix is

$$
[\hat{J}]^{j}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{C.1}\\
0 & J_{1}^{j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & J_{2}^{j} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & J_{3}^{j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We want p to be such that it is perpendicular to $\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}$ (to ensure that first entry of augmented angular velocity $\hat{\omega}^{j}$ remain 0 ) and $\mathbb{p}$ is not in span of $\mathbb{v}_{1}, \mathbb{v}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{v}_{3}$ (to ensure that $\hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)^{T}[\hat{J}]^{j} \hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)$ remain invertible). We have $\mathbb{v}_{4}=\left[q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}\right]^{T}$ perpendicular to $\mathbb{v}_{1}, \mathbb{v}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{w}_{3}$. So the general expression for $\mathfrak{p}$ not in span of $\mathbb{v}_{1}, \mathbb{v}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{v}_{3}$ is

$$
\mathbb{v}=\alpha_{1} \mathbb{v}_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mathbb{v}_{2}+\alpha_{3} \mathbb{v}_{3}+\alpha_{4} \mathbb{v}_{4}, \quad \alpha_{4} \neq 0 .
$$

p is perpendicular to $\dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{p}^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}=\alpha_{1} \mathbb{⿶}_{1}{ }^{T} \dot{\mathscr{q}}+\alpha_{2} \mathbb{V}_{2}{ }^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}+\alpha_{3} \mathbb{\mathbb { V }}_{3}{ }^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}+\alpha_{4} \mathbb{\mathbb { V }}_{4}{ }^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}=0 . \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathbb{q}_{j}^{T} \mathbb{q}_{j}=1$, at least one among $q_{j, 0}, q_{j, 1}, q_{j, 2}$ and $q_{j, 3}$ is non-zero. Let us assume that $q_{j, 3} \neq 0$, then from the constraint $\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{T} \dot{\mathbb{q}}_{j}=0$,

$$
\dot{q}_{j, 3}=-\frac{1}{q_{j, 3}}\left(q_{j, 0} \dot{q}_{j, 0}+q_{j, 1} \dot{q}_{j, 1}+q_{j, 2} \dot{q}_{j, 2}\right) .
$$

Substituting $q_{j, 3}$ in C.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{j, 0}\left(\alpha_{1}\left(-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3}+q_{j, 2} q_{j, 0}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(-q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 0}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(-q_{j, 3}^{2}-q_{j, 0}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+q_{j, 1}^{\dot{1}}\left(\alpha_{1}\left(q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3}+q_{j, 2} q_{j, 1}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(-q_{j, 3}^{2}-q_{j, 1}^{2}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+q_{j, 2}^{\dot{j}}\left(\alpha_{1}\left(q_{j, 3}^{2}+q_{j, 2}^{2}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2}\right)+\alpha_{3}\left(-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 0} q_{j, 2}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is true for all values of $q_{j, 0}, q_{j, 1}$ and $q_{j, 2}$, their coefficients must be zero. Therefore

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{j, 2} q_{j, 0}-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 0} & -q_{j, 3}^{2}-q_{j, 0}^{2} \\
q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3}+q_{j, 2} q_{j, 1} & -q_{j, 3}^{2}-q_{j, 1}^{2} & q_{j, 2} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 0} q_{j, 1} \\
q_{j, 3}^{2}+q_{j, 2}^{2} & q_{j, 0} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 1} q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 1} q_{j, 3}-q_{j, 0} q_{j, 2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right]=0 .
$$

Only trivial solution is possible for above system of linear equations. We obtain similar result with cases $q_{j, 0} \neq 0, q_{j, 1} \neq 0$ and $q_{j, 2} \neq 0$ respectively. So, the vector $\mathbb{p}=\alpha_{4} \mathbb{V}_{4}$. We take $\alpha_{4}=1$ and write

$$
\hat{G}\left(\mathbb{q}_{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 2} & q_{j, 3}  \tag{C.3}\\
-q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 3} & -q_{j, 2} \\
-q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 0} & q_{j, 1} \\
-q_{j, 3} & q_{j, 2} & -q_{j, 1} & q_{j, 0}
\end{array}\right]
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Computation done on a 8 core machine with ''Intel(R) Xenon cpu ES420 @ 2.5 GHz processor

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ see Appendix B

